

On Dowling Geometries of Infinite Groups

Peter Brooksbank Hongxun Qin Edmund Robertson Ákos Seress

May 26, 2004

Abstract

A finite subgeometry of a Dowling geometry for an infinite group is exhibited, which cannot be embedded in a Dowling geometry for any finite group; this provides a negative answer to a question of Bonin.

The question addressed herein is motivated by the following fundamental result of Rado, concerning the embeddability of finite geometries in projective geometries [Ra, Theorem 4]:

Theorem. *Every finite geometry representable over a field is representable over a finite field.*

In this short note we consider a corresponding embeddability question for a class of geometries known as *Dowling geometries*. We introduce only the essential concepts and definitions, and refer the reader to [BBB, Do, KK] for a more complete description of Dowling geometries and their properties.

Let $n \geq 3$ be an integer, and let A be a group. The points of the Dowling geometry $Q_n(A)$ are of two types: the *joints* p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n , which form a basis; and the *internal points* α_{ij} ($1 \leq i < j \leq n$), where α denotes an element of A (we shall say that the point α_{ij} is “labelled” by the group element α). There are also two types of nontrivial lines: the *coordinate lines*, $l_{ij} = \{p_i, p_j\} \cup \{\alpha_{ij} \mid \alpha \in A\}$ ($1 \leq i < j \leq n$); and the *transversal lines*, $\{\alpha_{ij}, \beta_{jk}, (\alpha\beta)_{ik}\}$ ($1 \leq i < j < k \leq n$), each containing three points, where $\alpha\beta$ denotes the usual product in A . (The *trivial lines* are those containing only two points.)

In [Bo], Bonin posed the following question concerning these geometries:

Question. *If a finite geometry M embeds in a Dowling geometry $Q_n(A)$ for some $n \geq 3$ and infinite group A , can one always find a finite group B such that M embeds in $Q_n(B)$?*

Bonin points to a number of striking similarities between Dowling and projective geometries, and suggests that we may view the former as group-theoretic analogues of the latter. In view of Rado’s result, an affirmative answer to Bonin’s question would further strengthen this analogy. We shall demonstrate here that, although this is not the case, it is a purely group theoretic phenomenon that ultimately settles the matter.

We will exhibit a particular infinite group A_0 and finite subgeometry M_0 of the Dowling geometry $Q_3(A_0)$, which cannot be embedded in $Q_3(B)$ for *any* finite group B . We remark that, although our example is constructed for the single case $n = 3$, it is not a difficult matter to extend the construction to higher ranks. We also remark that the answer to Bonin’s question is “yes” whenever the infinite group A is abelian; this was first observed by R. T. Tugger (cf. [Bo]).

From this point onward then we assume that $n = 3$. Here we may simplify our notation somewhat, denoting the transversal line $\{\alpha_{12}, \beta_{23}, (\alpha\beta)_{13}\}$ simply by the triple $(\alpha, \beta, \alpha\beta)$. Observe that for $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in A$, the triple (α, β, γ) is a transversal line of $Q_3(A)$ if and only if $\alpha\beta = \gamma$; thus we have the following 1-1 correspondence:

$$\{ \text{transversal lines in } Q_3(A) \} \longleftrightarrow \{ \text{equations of the form } \alpha\beta = \gamma \text{ for } \alpha, \beta, \gamma \in A \}.$$

Constructing M_0 . We now fix a particular infinite group introduced in a 1951 paper of Higman [Hi], namely the finitely presented group

$$A_0 = \langle \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4 \mid \alpha_1^{-1}\alpha_2\alpha_1 = \alpha_2^2, \alpha_2^{-1}\alpha_3\alpha_2 = \alpha_3^2, \alpha_3^{-1}\alpha_4\alpha_3 = \alpha_4^2, \alpha_4^{-1}\alpha_1\alpha_4 = \alpha_1^2 \rangle. \quad (1)$$

Higman’s group is chosen here only as a concise example of a finitely presented infinite group having no nontrivial finite quotient group; there is nothing otherwise notable about our selection. For $1 \leq k \leq 4$, let $\beta_k = \alpha_k^2$, let $\gamma_1 = \alpha_2\alpha_1$, $\gamma_2 = \alpha_3\alpha_2$, $\gamma_3 = \alpha_4\alpha_3$ and $\gamma_4 = \alpha_1\alpha_4$. Let ε denote the identity element of A_0 , and consider the subset

$$\mathcal{S} := \{ \varepsilon, \alpha_k, \beta_k, \gamma_k \mid 1 \leq k \leq 4 \} \quad (2)$$

of elements of A_0 . One can verify that the elements listed in (2) are distinct: for example, one can use the computer algebra system GAP [GAP] to verify by brute force that if one adjoins any relation of the form $\delta_1 = \delta_2$ to the set of defining relations for A_0 (where δ_1, δ_2 are distinct elements of \mathcal{S}), one obtains a presentation of the trivial group. We now define M_0 to be the finite subgeometry of $Q_3(A_0)$ obtained by restriction to the set

$$\Pi := \{ p_1, p_2, p_3 \} \cup \{ \delta_{ij} \mid \delta \in \mathcal{S}, 1 \leq i < j \leq 3 \}.$$

Note that M_0 contains three “coordinate lines” (namely, the restrictions of the three coordinate lines l_{ij} of $Q_3(A_0)$ to Π), and also the following three families of transversal lines: $\mathcal{L}_1 := \{ (\varepsilon, \delta, \delta) \mid \delta \in \mathcal{S} \}$, $\mathcal{L}_2 := \{ (\delta, \varepsilon, \delta) \mid \delta \in \mathcal{S} \}$, and

$$\mathcal{L}_3 := \left\{ \begin{array}{cccc} (\alpha_1, \alpha_1, \beta_1), & (\alpha_2, \alpha_2, \beta_2), & (\alpha_3, \alpha_3, \beta_3), & (\alpha_4, \alpha_4, \beta_4), \\ (\alpha_1, \alpha_4, \gamma_4), & (\alpha_2, \alpha_1, \gamma_1), & (\alpha_3, \alpha_2, \gamma_2), & (\alpha_4, \alpha_3, \gamma_3), \\ (\alpha_4, \beta_1, \gamma_4), & (\alpha_1, \beta_2, \gamma_1), & (\alpha_2, \beta_3, \gamma_2), & (\alpha_3, \beta_4, \gamma_3) \end{array} \right\}. \quad (3)$$

The lines in \mathcal{L}_1 and \mathcal{L}_2 clearly exist within $Q_3(A_0)$, as do those on the first two rows of (3). That the lines in the third row of (3) exist within $Q_3(A_0)$ follows from the defining relations of A_0 in (1). For example, the relation $\alpha_4^{-1}\alpha_1\alpha_4 = \alpha_1^2$ is equivalent to the condition $\alpha_1\alpha_4 = \alpha_4\alpha_1^2$, which translates to the equation $\alpha_4\beta_1 = \gamma_4$. In fact, one can verify (again using **GAP** in much the same manner as earlier) that the union $\mathcal{L}_1 \cup \mathcal{L}_2 \cup \mathcal{L}_3$ contains *all* 3-point lines of M_0 .

Embedding M_0 . Consider any embedding $f: M_0 \rightarrow Q_3(B)$ of M_0 into the Dowling geometry of an arbitrary group B . Label and index the coordinate lines of $Q_3(B)$ in such a way that l_{ij} maps, under f , to the coordinate line l'_{ij} . Then each transversal line $\{\alpha_{12}, \beta_{23}, \gamma_{13}\}$ of M_0 maps to the transversal line $\{f(\alpha_{12}), f(\beta_{23}), f(\gamma_{13})\}$ of $Q_3(B)$. Furthermore, following f by an automorphism of the geometry $Q_3(B)$, we may assume that $f(\varepsilon_{12})$ and $f(\varepsilon_{23})$ are both labelled by e , the identity element of B (cf. [KK, section 7]); that is to say, $f(\varepsilon_{12}) = e_{12} \in l'_{12}$ and $f(\varepsilon_{23}) = e_{23} \in l'_{23}$.

Next, for each $\delta \in \mathcal{S}$, let $d \in B$ denote the label of $f(\delta_{23})$ in l'_{23} (i.e. $f(\delta_{23}) = d_{23}$). We claim that the labels for all of the remaining points in $f(M_0)$ are now determined. Indeed, for each $\delta \in \mathcal{S}$, since the line $(\varepsilon, \delta, \delta)$ in \mathcal{L}_1 is mapped under f to the line (e, d, d) in $Q_3(B)$, it follows that d is also the label of $f(\delta_{13})$ in l'_{13} . Similarly, images of lines in \mathcal{L}_2 determine the labels of all of the points on l'_{12} . In particular, f induces a well-defined map $\mathcal{S} \rightarrow B$, sending

$$\alpha_k \mapsto a_k, \quad \beta_k \mapsto b_k, \quad \gamma_k \mapsto c_k \quad (1 \leq k \leq 4),$$

where the a_k , b_k and c_k are distinct elements of B . More importantly, each line in \mathcal{L}_3 determines an equation among elements of \mathcal{S} that must now hold among the corresponding elements of B ; these equations are as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} a_1^2 = b_1 & & a_2^2 = b_2 & & a_3^2 = b_3 & & a_4^2 = b_4 \\ a_1a_4 = c_4 & & a_2a_1 = c_1 & & a_3a_2 = c_2 & & a_4a_3 = c_3 \\ a_4b_1 = c_4 & & a_1b_2 = c_1 & & a_2b_3 = c_2 & & a_3b_4 = c_3 \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

Evidently, this system of equations is equivalent to the following set of relations in B :

$$a_1^{-1}a_2a_1 = a_2^2, \quad a_2^{-1}a_3a_2 = a_3^2, \quad a_3^{-1}a_4a_3 = a_4^2, \quad a_4^{-1}a_1a_4 = a_1^2. \tag{5}$$

Of course these are precisely the relations satisfied by the generators of A_0 , as given in the presentation (1). However, Higman also showed in [Hi] that the relations in (5) cannot hold among distinct elements of *any* finite group. It follows that M_0 cannot embed in $Q_3(B)$ for any finite group B .

Acknowledgement. The authors wish to thank Joachim Neubüser for some helpful discussions on the group-theoretic aspects of our construction.

References

- [BBB] M. K. Bennett, K. P. Bogart and J. Bonin, The geometry of Dowling lattices, *Advances in Math.* **103** (1994), 131–161.
- [Bo] J. Bonin, Open problem 6, pp.417–418 in: Contemporary Mathematics, Vol. **197** (1996).
- [Do] T. A. Dowling, A class of geometric lattices based on finite groups, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* **14** (1973), 61–86; Erratum, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* **15** (1973), 211.
- [GAP] The GAP Group, Groups, Algorithms, and Programming, Version 4.2; Aachen, St Andrews. (<http://www-gap.dcs.st-and.ac.uk/gap>), 2000.
- [Hi] G. Higman, A finitely generated infinite simple group, *J. London Math. Soc.* **26** (1951), 61–64.
- [KK] J. Kahn and J. P. S. Kung, Varieties of combinatorial geometries, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **271** (1982), 485–499.
- [Ra] R. Rado, Note on independence functions, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* **7** (1957), 300–320.

Peter Brooksbank
Department of Mathematics
Bucknell University
Lewisburg, PA 17837
United States
pbrooks@bucknell.edu

Edmund Robertson
School of Mathematics & Statistics
Mathematical Institute
North Haugh
St Andrews, Fife KY16 9SS
Scotland
efr@st-andrews.ac.uk

Hongxun Qin
Department of Mathematics
George Washington University
Washington, DC 20052
United States

Ákos Seress
Department of Mathematics
The Ohio State University
231 West 18th Avenue
Columbus, OH 43210
United States
akos@math.ohio-state.edu